UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,701	06/13/2006	Nico Centofante	WUE-62	6562
Thomas J Burg	7590 03/25/201 er	EXAMINER		
Wood Herron & Evans 2700 Carew Tower 441 Vine Street			CIRIC, LJILJANA V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cincinnati, OH	45202-2917		3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/582,701	CENTOFANTE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric	3744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 /u	ne 2006 and 14 October 2009				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 June 2006 and 14 October 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
<i>i</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice under Lx parte Quayre, 1935 C.D. 11, 405 C.C. 216.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 22-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 22-42 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 October 2009</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/582,701 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention.

These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The first species or the embodiment of Figure 2; the second species or the embodiment of Figure 3; the third species or the embodiment of Figure 4; and, the fourth species or the embodiment of Figure 5.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 22, 30, 31, 33, 34, and 39 through 41 appear to correspond to the first species or the embodiment of Figure 2.

Claims 22, 23, 30, 31, 33 through 35, and 39 through 41 appear to correspond to the second species or the embodiment of Figure 3.

Claims 22 through 25 and 30 through 42 appear to correspond to the third species or the embodiment of Figure 4.

Claims 22, 23, and 26 through 42 appear to correspond to the fourth species or the embodiment of Figure 5.

The following claims are generic: claims 22 and 34.

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the first species is characterized by one temperature area for temperature control in an aircraft cabin, or a single-quadrant system; the second species is characterized by two temperature areas comprising a pressure control arrangement for temperature control in an aircraft cabin; the third

Application/Control Number: 10/582,701 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

species is characterized by two temperature areas comprising two pressure control arrangements for temperature control in an aircraft cabin; and, the fourth species is characterized by four temperature areas for temperature control in an aircraft cabin (four-quadrant system).

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election

of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143)

and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right

to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point

out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without

traverse.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named

inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(i).

/Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744